arXiv:1402.6025v1 [math.AP] 25 Feb 2014

On Analytical Solutions to General Anti-Plane Shear Problems In Finite Elasticity

David Y. Gao[1,2]

1. Federation University Australia, Mt Helen, VIC 3353, Australia

2. Research School of Engineering, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Dedicated to Professor Ray Ogden on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract

This paper presents a pure complementary energy variational method for solving anti-plane shear problem in finite elasticity. Based on the canonical duality theory developed by the author, the nonlinear/nonconex partial differential equation for the deformation field is converted into an algebraic equation in stress space, which can be solved analytically to obtain a complete set of stress solutions. Therefore, an analytical solution form of the deformation is proposed subjected to a compatibility condition. Also, the global minimal solution is identified. Applications are illustrated by examples with both convex and nonconvex stored strain energies governed by quadratic-exponential and power-law material models, respectively. Results show that in finite deformation, global minimal solutions are usually nonsmooth and are difficult to obtain by any other direct methods. This paper demonstrates again that the pure complementary energy principle and the canonical duality theory play an important role in finite deformation theory.

1 Introduction

Anti-plane shear deformation problems arise naturally from many real world applications, such as structures with cracks [40], layered/composite functioning materials [36, 44], phase transitions in solids [42], and interface stress effects of nanostructured materials [35]. During the past half century, such problems in finite deformation theory have been subjected to extensively study by both mathematicians and engineering scientists [24, 28]. As indicated in the review article by C.O. Horgan [26]), anti-plane shear deformations are one of the simplest classes of deformations that solids can undergo. In anti-plane shear (or longitudinal shear, generalized shear) of a cylindrical body, the displacement is parallel to

the generators of the cylinder and is independent of the axial coordinate. In recent years, considerable attention has been paid to the analysis of anti-plane shear deformations within the context of various constitutive theories (linear and nonlinear) of solid mechanics. Such studies were largely motivated by the promise of relative analytic simplicity compared with plane problems since the governing equations are a single second-order partial differential equation rather than higher-order or coupled systems of partial differential equations. Thus the anti-plane shear problem plays a useful role as a pilot problem, within which various aspects of solutions in solid mechanics may be examined in a particularly simple setting.

Generally speaking, the anti-plane shear problem in linear elasticity is governed by linear partial differential equation, which can be solved easily by well-developed analytical methods. However, in finite elasticity, the associated variational problem is usually nonlinear or nonconvex, which could have multiple solutions. Traditional methods for solving nonconvex variational problems are proved to be very difficult, or even impossible. Numerical methods for solving nonconvex variational problems lead to a global optimization problem. Due to the lacking of global optimality condition, most of nonconvex optimization problems are considered to be NP-hard in computer science. Extensive research has been focused on solving such nonconvex optimization problems, and a special research field, i.e., the global optimization has been developed during the past 15 years.

Complementary variational principles and methods play important roles in continuum mechanics. It is known that in finite deformation theory, the Hellinger-Reissner principle (see [25, 41]) and the Fraeijs de Veubeke principle (see [43]) hold for both convex and nonconvex problems. But, these well-known principles are not considered as the *pure complementary variational principles* since the Hellinger-Reissner principle involves both the displacement field and the second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor, and the Fraeijs de Veubeke principle needs both the rotation tensor and the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress as its variational arguments. Therefore, the question about the existence of a pure complementary variational principle in general finite deformation theory was argued for several decades (see [30, 31, 32, 34, 39, 37, 38]). Also, since the extremality condition is a fundamentally difficult problem in nonconvex variational analysis and global optimization, all the classical complementary-dual variational principles and associated numerical methods can't be used for solving nonconvex variational/optimization problems in finite deformation theory.

Canonical duality theory is a newly developed, potentially powerful methodology which is composed mainly of a *canonical dual transformation*, a pure complementary energy variational principle, and a *triality theory*. The canonical dual transformation can be used to establish perfect dual problems in nonconvex analysis and global optimization. The pure complementary variational principle shows that a class of nonlinear partial differential equations are equivalent to certain algebraic equations which can be solved to obtain analytical solutions in stress space. The triality theory provides both global and local extremality conditions for these solutions. The original idea of the canonical dual transformation was introduced by Gao and Strang in general nonconvex variational problems [19]. The pure complementary principle has been used successfully for solving finite deformation problems. In a set of papers published recently by Gao and Ogden [17, 16], it is shown that by using this theory, complete sets of analytical solutions can be obtained for one-dimensional

nonlinear/nonconvex problems. Their results For global optimization problems in finite dimensional space, the canonical duality theory has been used successfully for and global optimization, see [18]

The purpose of this paper is to illustrate the application of this pure complementary variational principle and the triality theory by solving nonlinear and nonconvex variational problems in anti-plane shear deformation. The remain article is organized as the following. The next section discusses the finite anti-plane shear deformation and constitutive laws. Based on the equilibrium equation and a general constitutive law, a nonlinear potential variational problem is formulated. Section 3 shows how this nonlinear potential variational problem can be transformed as a canonical dual problem such that a pure complementary energy principle can be obtained, and by which, how the nonlinear partial differential equation for deformation can be converted into an algebraic equation in stress space, so that an analytical solution form for the displacement can be formulated. This section also shows how the global optimal solution can be identified by the triality theory. Section 4 presents an application to convex problem governed by a quadratic-exponential stored energy, which has a unique solution. While for nonconvex strain energy, Section 5 shows that the boundary value problem is not equivalent to the variational problem. By using the canonical dual transformation and the pure complementary variational principle, the nonlinear differential equation can be converted into a cubic algebraic equation, which possesses at most three real roots. Therefore a complete set of solutions to the potential variational problem is obtained. The triality theory can be used to identify global and local minimizers.

The results presented in this paper shows that the pure complementary energy principle and the triality theory are potentially useful in finite deformation theory.

2 The anti-plane shear deformation and variational problem

Consider a homogeneous, isotropic elastic cylinder $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with generators parallel to the $\mathbf{e}_3$ axis and with cross section a sufficiently nice region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ in the $\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_2$ plane. The so-called anti-plane shear deformation is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\chi} = \mathbf{x} + u(x_1, x_2)\mathbf{e}_3, \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega \tag{1}$$

where (x_1, x_2, x_3) are cylindrical coordinates in the reference configuration (assumed free of stress) $\mathcal{B}$ relative to a cylindrical basis $\{\mathbf{e}_\alpha\}, \alpha = 1, 2, 3$, and $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is the amount of shear (locally a simple shear in the planes normal to $\mathbf{e}_3$.

We suppose that on boundary $\Gamma_u \subset \partial\Omega$ the homogenous boundary condition is given, i.e.,

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_u, \tag{2}$$

while on the boundary $\Gamma_t = \partial\Omega \bigcap \Gamma_u$, the shear force is prescribed

$$\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x}) = t(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{e}_3 \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_t.$$

The deformation gradient tensor, denoted $\mathbf{F}$, can be readily calculated for the deformation of the form (1):

$$\mathbf{F} = \nabla\boldsymbol{\chi} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{e}_3 \otimes (\nabla u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_{,1} & u_{,2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where $u_{,\alpha}$ represents $\partial u/\partial x_\alpha$ for $\alpha = 1, 2$, $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity tensor. While, the corresponding left Cauchy-Green tensor, denoted $\mathbf{B}$, is

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & u_{,1} \\ 0 & 1 & u_{,2} \\ u_{,1} & u_{,2} & 1 + |\nabla u|^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where the notation $^{\mathrm{T}}$ indicates the transpose (of a second-order tensor).

The principal invariants of $\mathbf{B}$, denoted I_1, I_2, I_3, are defined by

$$I_1 = \mathrm{tr}\,\mathbf{B}, \quad I_2 = \frac{1}{2}[(\mathrm{tr}\,\mathbf{B})^2 - \mathrm{tr}\,(\mathbf{B})^2], \quad I_3 = \det \mathbf{B}, \tag{5}$$

and, for the considered plane strain problem, these reduce to

$$I_1 = I_2 = 3 + |\nabla u|^2, \qquad I_3 = 1. \tag{6}$$

In this paper, the notation $|\nabla u|^2 = u_{,1}^2 + u_{,2}^2$ represents the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

For an incompressible isotropic elastic solid when restricted to the plane strain specialization the strain-energy function W may be treated in general as a function of I_1 alone and we write

$$W = W(I_1). \tag{7}$$

The associated in-plane Cauchy stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ then has the form

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = -\sigma\mathbf{I} + 2\frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1}\mathbf{B}, \tag{8}$$

where now $\mathbf{I}$ and σ is the corresponding Lagrange multiplier associated with the incompressibility constraint.

In view of (6), if we let $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \nabla u$, we may now introduce a new function, denoted $\hat{W}$, such as

$$\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = W(I_1) \tag{9}$$

and differentiation of (9) yields the simple formula

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}} \tag{10}$$

for the shear stress.

For the deformation and constitutive law discussed in the foregoing sections the equilibrium equation $\mathrm{div}\boldsymbol{\tau} = 0$ (in the absence of body forces) has just one non-trivial components, namely the equilibrium equation

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} = \frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \tau_2}{\partial x_2} = 0 \tag{11}$$

Let the kinetically admissible space be defined by

$$\mathcal{U}_a = \{u(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{C}[\Omega; \mathbb{R}] \ \mid \ \nabla u \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}[\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2], \ \ u(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_u\}, \tag{12}$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{2p}$ is the standard notation of Lebesgue integrable space with $p \in \mathbb{R}$, which will be discussed in Section 5. Then the minimal potential energy principle leads to the following variational problem (primal problem ($\mathcal{P}$) for short) for the determination of the deformation function u:

$$(\mathcal{P}): \ \ \min\left\{\Pi(u) = \int_\Omega \hat{W}(\nabla u)\mathrm{d}\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_t} tu\mathrm{d}\Gamma \ \ \mid \ u \in \mathcal{U}_a\right\}, \tag{13}$$

where $\min\{*\}$ represents for finding minimum value of the statement in $\{*\}$.

The criticality condition $\delta\Pi(u) = 0$ leads to the following mixed boundary value problem:

$$(BVP): \ \ \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{W}(\nabla u)}{\partial(\nabla u)} = 0, \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{W}(\nabla u)}{\partial(\nabla u)} = t \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_t. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Since the stored energy $\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ is a nonlinear function of the shear strain, the (BVP) may possess multiple solutions and each solution represents a stationary point of the total potential energy $\Pi(u)$. Therefore, if $\Pi(u)$ is nonconvex, the variational problem $(\mathcal{P})$ is not equivalent the boundary value problem (BVP). Traditional direct methods for solving the nonlinear boundary value problem are usually very difficult, also how to identify the global minimizer in nonconvex analysis is a fundamentally difficult task. It turns out that in general nonlinear elasticity, even some qualitative questions such as regularity and stability are considered as outstanding open problems.

On the other hand, let the statically admissible space to be

$$\mathcal{T}_a = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{C}[\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2] | \ \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \ \ \forall \ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \ \ \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x}) = t \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_t\}. \tag{15}$$

The complementary variational problem can be states as the following:

$$\min\left\{\Pi^*(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \int_\Omega \hat{W}^*(\boldsymbol{\tau})\mathrm{d}\Omega \ \ \mid \ \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a\right\}, \tag{16}$$

where $\hat{W}^*(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ is the so-called complementary energy function (or density), defined by the Legendre transformation:

$$\hat{W}^*(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} - \hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \mid \ \boldsymbol{\tau} = \nabla \hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})\}. \tag{17}$$

In finite deformation theory, if the strain energy density $\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ is nonconvex, the Legendre conjugate $\hat{W}^*(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ can not be uniquely obtained. In this case, the classical complementary energy variational principle can not be used for solving nonconvex finite deformation problems. Although by the Fenchel transformation

$$\hat{W}^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \sup\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau} - \hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \mid \ \boldsymbol{\gamma}\in\mathcal{L}^{2p}[\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2]\}, \tag{18}$$

the Fenchel conjugate $\hat{W}^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ is always convex, and the Fenchel-Moreau dual problem can be obtain as

$$\max\left\{\Pi^\sharp = -\int_\Omega \hat{W}^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\tau})\mathrm{d}\Omega \ \mid \ \boldsymbol{\tau}\in\mathcal{T}_a\right\}, \tag{19}$$

the Fenchel-Young inequality

$$\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \geq \boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau} - \hat{W}^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\tau})$$

leads to

$$\theta = \min_{u\in\mathcal{U}_a}\Pi(u) - \max_{\boldsymbol{\tau}\in\mathcal{T}_a}\Pi^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \geq 0 \tag{20}$$

and the non zero $\theta\neq 0$ is the well-known duality gap in nonconvex analysis. Therefore, the existence of a pure complementary energy principle in finite elasticity was a well-known open problem which has been discussed for over thirty years. This problem was solved in 1999 [7] when a complementary energy principle was proposed in terms of the first and second Piola-Kirchhoff stresses only.

In the following sections, we will demonstrate the application of the canonical duality theory and the pure complementary variational principle for solving the proposed variational problem. In order to examine this problem in detail, we will consider the energy function in both convex and nonconvex forms.

3 Canonical dual problem and extremality theory

The key step of the canonical dual transformation is to introduce a new geometrical measure $\xi = \Lambda(u)$ and a canonical function $V(\xi)$ such that the stored energy function $\hat{W}(\nabla u) = V(\Lambda(u))$. By the definition introduced in [9] that a real-valued function $V(\xi)$ is called a canonical function if the duality relation

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial V(\xi)}{\partial \xi} \tag{21}$$

is invertible such that the conjugate function $V^*(\zeta)$ of $V(\zeta)$ can be defined uniquely by the Legendre transformation:

$$V^*(\zeta) = \left\{\xi\zeta - V(\xi) \mid \zeta = \frac{\partial V(\xi)}{\partial\xi}\right\}. \tag{22}$$

In this anti-plane shear deformation problem, we can simply choose the geometrical measure $\xi = \Lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2$, which is a quadratic mapping from $\mathcal{U}_a$ to a closed convex set

$$\mathcal{E}_a = \{\xi \in \mathcal{L}^p[\Omega; \mathbb{R}] |\ \xi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}. \tag{23}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_a^*$ be the range of the canonical duality mapping $\nabla V : \mathcal{E}_a \to \mathcal{E}^*$, such that on $\mathcal{E}_a \times \mathcal{E}_a^*$, the following canonical duality relations hold:

$$\zeta = \nabla V(\xi) \ \ \Leftrightarrow \ \ \xi = \nabla V^*(\zeta) \ \ \Leftrightarrow \ \ V(\xi) + V^*(\zeta) = \xi\zeta. \tag{24}$$

In the terminology of finite elasticity, if the geometrical measure ξ can be viewed as a Cauchy-Green type strain, its conjugate ζ is a second Piola-Kirchhoff type stress. For many hyper-elastic materials, the stored energy function could be nonconvex in the deformation gradient, but is usually convex in the Cauchy-Green type strain measure. Thus, replacing $\hat{W}(\nabla u)$ in the total potential energy $\Pi(u)$ by the canonical form $V(\Lambda(u))$, the primal problem $(\mathcal{P})$ can be written in the following canonical form:

$$(\mathcal{P}): \ \ \min \left\{ \Pi(u) = \int_\Omega V(\Lambda(u)) \mathrm{d}\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_t} ut \mathrm{d}\Gamma |\ \ u \in \mathcal{U}_a \right\}. \tag{25}$$

Furthermore, by using the Fenchel-Young equality $V(\Lambda(u)) = \Lambda(u)\zeta - V^*(\zeta)$, the so-called *total complementary energy functional* originally proposed by Gao and Strang in [19] can be written as

$$\Xi(u, \zeta) = \int_\Omega [\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 \zeta - V^*(\zeta)] \mathrm{d}\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_t} ut \mathrm{d}\Gamma. \tag{26}$$

This two-field functional is well-defined on $\mathcal{U}_a \times \mathcal{E}_a^*$. Let

$$\mathcal{S}^+ = \{\zeta \in \mathcal{E}_a^* |\ \ \zeta(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}. \tag{27}$$

The following theorem is a special case of the general result by Gao and Strang [19].

Theorem 1 (Complementary Variational Extremum Principle) *If $(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta})$ is a critical point of $\Xi(u, \zeta)$, then $\bar{u}$ is a solution to (BVP). Moreover, if $V(\xi)$ is convex and $\bar{\zeta} \in \mathcal{S}^+$, then $\bar{u}$ is a solution to the minimal variational problem $(\mathcal{P})$ and*

$$\Pi(\bar{u}) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_a} \Pi(u) = \Xi(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta}) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_a} \max_{\zeta \in \mathcal{S}^+} \Xi(u, \zeta) = \max_{\zeta \in \mathcal{S}^+} \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_a} \Xi(u, \zeta). \tag{28}$$

Proof. By the criticality condition $\delta\Xi(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta}) = 0$, we obtain

$$\Lambda(\bar{u}) = \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \bar{u}|^2 = \nabla V^*(\bar{\zeta}), \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{29}$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\bar{\zeta} \nabla \bar{u}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \ \ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\bar{\zeta} \nabla \bar{u}) = t \ \ \text{on } \Gamma_t. \tag{30}$$

By the canonical duality (24), we know that the Euler-Lagrangian equation (29) is equivalent to the canonical constitutive-geometrical equation $\bar{\zeta} = \nabla V(\Lambda(\bar{u}))$. Combining this

with the equilibrium equation (30), we know that the critical point $(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta})$ solves the boundary value problem (BVP) and $\bar{u}$ is a critical point of the the total potential energy $\Pi(u)$.

By the convexity of the canonical energy $V(\xi)$, we have (see [19])

$$V(\xi) - V(\bar{\xi}) \geq (\xi - \bar{\xi})\nabla V(\bar{\xi}) \ \ \forall \xi, \bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{E}_a.$$

Let $\xi = \Lambda(u)$, $\bar{\xi} = \Lambda(\bar{u})$, and $\bar{\zeta} = \nabla V(\Lambda(\bar{u}))$, we obtained

$$\Pi(u) - \Pi(\bar{u}) \geq \int_\Omega [\bar{\zeta}(\Lambda(u) - \Lambda(\bar{u}))] \mathrm{d}\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_t} t(u - \bar{u}) \mathrm{d}\Gamma \ \ \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_a.$$

Let $u = \bar{u} + \delta u$. By the fact that $\Lambda(u)$ is a quadratic operator, we have (see [19])

$$\Lambda(u) = \Lambda(\bar{u} + \delta u) = \Lambda(\bar{u}) + (\nabla \delta u)^T (\nabla \bar{u}) + \Lambda(\delta u).$$

Therefore, if $(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta})$ is a critical point of $\Xi(u, \zeta)$ and $\bar{\zeta} \in \mathcal{S}^+$, we have

$$\Pi(u) - \Pi(\bar{u}) = \int_\Omega \bar{\zeta} \Lambda(\delta u) \mathrm{d}\Omega \geq 0 \ \ \forall \delta u.$$

This shows that $\bar{u}$ is a global minimizer of $\Pi(u)$ over $\mathcal{U}_a$. □

Remark 1. Theorem 1 is actually the direct application of the general result of [19], and

$$G(u, \zeta) = \int_\Omega \bar{\zeta} \Lambda(\delta u) \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

is the so-called complementary gap function first introduced by Gao and Strang in 1989 [19]. Since the geometrical operator $\Lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2$ is quadratic, the gap function $G(u, \zeta) \geq 0$ if $\zeta \in \mathcal{S}^+$. Therefore, the total complementary energy $\Xi(u, \zeta)$ is a saddle functional on $\mathcal{U}_a \times \mathcal{S}^+$, i.e.

$$\Xi(u, \bar{\zeta}) \geq \Xi(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta}) \geq \Xi(\bar{u}, \zeta) \ \ \forall (u, \zeta) \in \mathcal{U}_a \times \mathcal{S}^+.$$

Thus, by the saddle min-max duality, we have (28). Theorem 1 shows that the gap function $G(u, \bar{\zeta}) \geq 0$ provides a global optimality condition for the nonconvex variational problem $(\mathcal{P})$. This gap function also plays a key role in global optimization (see [18]). Based on this complementary extremum principle and the general canonical primal-dual mixed finite element method [3, 23], an efficient algorithm can be developed for solving general anti-plane shear problems.

By the virtual work principle, for any given statically admissible $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$, we have

$$\int_\Omega (\nabla u) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{d}\Omega = \int_\Gamma (\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}) u \mathrm{d}\Gamma - \int_\Omega (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}) u \mathrm{d}\Omega = \int_{\Gamma_t} t u \mathrm{d}\Gamma \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_a. \tag{31}$$

Replacing the boundary integral in (26) by (31), the total complementary energy functional $\Xi(u, \zeta)$ can be written as

$$\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u, \zeta) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \zeta - V^*(\zeta) - (\nabla u) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \right] \mathrm{d}\Omega. \tag{32}$$

Theorem 2 *For any given statically admissible $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$, if $(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta})$ is a critical point of $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u, \zeta)$, then it is also a critical point of $\Xi(u, \zeta)$ and*

$$\Xi(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta}) = \Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta}) \ \ \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a. \tag{33}$$

Proof. For a given $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$, the criticality condition $\delta \Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta}) = 0$ gives to the inverse constitutive law $\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \bar{u}|^2 = \nabla V^*(\bar{\zeta})$ and the balance equations

$$\nabla \cdot (\bar{\zeta} \nabla \bar{u}) = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \ \text{ in } \Omega, \ \ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\bar{\zeta} \nabla \bar{u}) = \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \ \ \text{on } \Gamma_t. \tag{34}$$

Therefore, $(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta})$ is a critical point of $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u, \zeta)$, and also a solution to (BVP), for any given $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$. The equality (33) can be proved easily by the virtual work principle. □

This theorem shows that the statically admissible stress field $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$ does not change the value of the functional $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u, \zeta)$. Note that from the criticality conditions (34), we have

$$\zeta \nabla u = \boldsymbol{\tau},$$

which shows the relation between the canonical stress and the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress. By substituting $\nabla u = \boldsymbol{\tau}/\zeta$ in $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u, \zeta)$, the pure complementary energy $\Pi^d(\zeta)$ can be obtained by the canonical dual transformation [8]

$$\Pi^d(\zeta) = \text{sta}\left\{\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u, \zeta) | \ \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_a\right\} = -\int_\Omega \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2}{2\zeta} + V^*(\zeta)\right) \mathrm{d}\Omega, \tag{35}$$

which is well-defined on

$$\mathcal{S}_a^+ = \{\zeta \in \mathcal{S}^+ | \ \ |\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2/\zeta \in \mathcal{L}[\Omega; \mathbb{R}]\}. \tag{36}$$

Therefore, the complementary variational problem which is canonically dual to the potential variational problem $(\mathcal{P})$ can be proposed as

$$(\mathcal{P}^d): \ \ \max\left\{\Pi^d(\zeta) = -\int_\Omega \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2}{2\zeta} + V^*(\zeta)\right) \mathrm{d}\Omega | \ \ \zeta \in \mathcal{S}_a^+\right\}. \tag{37}$$

According to [9], we have the following result.

Theorem 3 (Pure Complementary Energy Principle) *For a given statically admissible $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$, if $(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta})$ is a critical point of $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u, \zeta)$, then $\bar{\zeta}$ is a critical point of $\Pi^d(\zeta)$, $\bar{u}$ is a critical point of $\Pi(u)$, and*

$$\Pi(\bar{u}) = \Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta}) = \Pi^d(\bar{\zeta}). \tag{38}$$

If $V(\xi)$ is convex, then $\bar{u}$ is a global minimum solution to $(\mathcal{P})$ if and only if $\bar{\zeta} \in \mathcal{S}_a^+$ is a solution to $(\mathcal{P}^d)$, i.e.

$$\Pi(\bar{u}) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_a} \Pi(u) \ \ \Leftrightarrow \ \ \max_{\zeta \in \mathcal{S}_a^+} \Pi^d(\zeta) = \Pi^d(\bar{\zeta}). \tag{39}$$

The problem $(\mathcal{P})$ has a unique solution if $\bar{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}) > 0, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$.

Proof. By the equation (33) we know that for a given $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$, the functionals $\Xi(u, \zeta)$ and $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u, \zeta)$ have the same critical points set. Particularly, the criticality condition condition $\delta\Pi^d(\bar{\zeta}) = 0$ leads to

$$\frac{|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2}{2(\bar{\zeta})^2} = \nabla V^*(\bar{\zeta}), \tag{40}$$

which is in fact the inverse constitutive-geometrical equation (29) subject to

$$\nabla \bar{u} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{\bar{\zeta}}. \tag{41}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is statically admissible, therefore, $\bar{\zeta}\nabla\bar{u} = \boldsymbol{\tau}$ satisfies equilibrium conditions (30). This proved that the critical point $\bar{\zeta}$ of the canonical dual problem $(\mathcal{P}^d)$ and the associated $\bar{u}$ are also critical point of Ξ.

Again by the canonical duality (24), we have

$$\Xi(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta}) = \int_\Omega V(\Lambda(\bar{u}))\mathrm{d}\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_t} t\bar{u}\mathrm{d}\Gamma = \int_\Omega \hat{W}(\nabla\bar{u})\mathrm{d}\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_t} t\bar{u}\mathrm{d}\Gamma = \Pi(\bar{u}).$$

Dually, by using (41), we have for any given $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$

$$\Xi(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta}) = \Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta}) = \Pi^d(\bar{\zeta}).$$

By the fact that $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u, \zeta)$ is a saddle functional on $\mathcal{U}_a \times \mathcal{S}_a^+$, we have

$$\min_{u\in\mathcal{U}_a} \Pi(u) = \min_{u\in\mathcal{U}_a} \max_{\zeta\in\mathcal{S}_a^+} \Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u, \zeta) = \max_{\zeta\in\mathcal{S}_a^+} \min_{u\in\mathcal{U}_a} \Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u, \zeta) = \max_{\zeta\in\mathcal{S}_a^+} \Pi^d(\zeta).$$

Thus, $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}_a$ is a global minimum solution to $(\mathcal{P})$ if and only if $\bar{\zeta} \in \mathcal{S}_a^+$ is a solution to the canonical dual problem $(\mathcal{P}^d)$. Moreover, if $\bar{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}) > 0 \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, then the gap function $G(u, \bar{\zeta}) > 0 \ \ \forall u \neq 0$. From the proof of Theorem 1 we know that the total potential energy $\Pi(u)$ is strictly convex on $\mathcal{U}_a$, therefore, the global min is unique. □

Remark 2. This theorem shows that the complementary energy variational problem $(\mathcal{P}^d)$ is canonically dual to the potential variational problem $(\mathcal{P})$, i.e. there is no duality gap. The canonical dual Euler-Lagrangian equation (40) shows that the criticality condition of the pure complementary energy is an algebraic equation

$$\bar{\zeta}^2\nabla V^*(\bar{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2, \tag{42}$$

which can be solved easily for many real applications. Therefore, the pure complementary energy principle plays an important role in stress analysis and design. But, for each $\boldsymbol{\tau}$, the solution $\bar{\zeta}$ can only produce the deformation gradient $\nabla\bar{u} = \bar{\zeta}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}$. In order to obtain the primal solution $\bar{u}$ by solving the canonical dual problem, additional compatibility condition is needed.

Theorem 4 (Analytical Solution Form) *For a given statically admissible stress* $\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{T}_a$ *such that* $\bar{\zeta}(\mathbf{x})$ *is a solution of the canonical dual equation (42), then the vector-valued function*

$$\nabla \bar{u} = \bar{\zeta}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\tau} \tag{43}$$

is a deformation solution to the (BVP).

Moreover, if $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$ *is a potential field and*

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} \times (\nabla \bar{\zeta}) = 0 \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \tag{44}$$

then the path-independent line integral

$$\bar{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{\mathbf{x}} \bar{\zeta}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \ \ \forall \mathbf{x}_0 \in \Gamma_u \tag{45}$$

is a solution of the boundary value problem (BVP).

Proof. First, by using chain role we know that if $\nabla \bar{u}$ is a solution to (BVP), it must satisfy

$$\frac{\partial \hat{W}(\nabla \bar{u})}{\partial(\nabla \bar{u})} = \frac{\partial V(\Lambda(\bar{u}))}{\partial \Lambda(\bar{u})}\frac{\partial \Lambda(\bar{u})}{\partial \nabla \bar{u}} = \bar{\zeta}\nabla \bar{u} \in \mathcal{T}_a.$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \bar{\zeta}\nabla \bar{u} \in \mathcal{T}_a$, we have

$$\nabla \bar{u} = \bar{\zeta}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}, \tag{46}$$

which is indeed the critical condition $\delta_u \Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\bar{u}, \bar{\zeta}) = 0$. By the canonical duality (24), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \bar{u}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2}{\bar{\zeta}^2} = \nabla V^*(\bar{\zeta}),$$

which is the canonical dual algebraic equation, i.e., the criticality condition of $\delta \Pi^d(\bar{\zeta}) = 0$. Therefore, for a given $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$, if $\bar{\zeta}$ is a solution to this canonical dual equation (42), then $\nabla \bar{u} = \bar{\zeta}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is the deformation field of the (BVP).

Moreover, if $\bar{u}$ can be solved by the path-independent line integral (45), the integrant $\bar{\zeta}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}$ must be a potential field on Ω, i.e. $\nabla \times (\bar{\zeta}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}) = 0$. This leads to

$$\bar{\zeta}(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\tau}) + \boldsymbol{\tau} \times (\nabla \bar{\zeta}) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x})$ is a potential field on Ω, i.e., there exists a scale-valued function $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ such that $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \nabla \phi(\mathbf{x})$, then we have $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\tau} = \nabla \times (\nabla \phi) \equiv 0$ on Ω. Therefore, as long as the condition $\boldsymbol{\tau} \times (\nabla \bar{\zeta}) = 0$ holds on Ω, the deformation gradient $\nabla \bar{u} = \bar{\zeta}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is a potential field and the displacement $\bar{u}$ can be obtained by the path-integral (45). By the fact that $\bar{u}(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$, it should be an analytical solution to (BVP). □

Generally speaking, the canonical dual algebraic equation (42) is nonlinear which allows multiple solutions for nonconvex problems. In the following sections, we shall present some applications.

4 Application to Convex Variational Problem

First, we assume the stored energy $\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ is a convex function of the type:

$$\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \tfrac{1}{2}\mu|\boldsymbol{\gamma}|^2 + \nu\left(\exp(\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{\gamma}|^2) - 1\right), \tag{47}$$

where $\mu > 0$ and $\nu > 0$ are material constants. In this case, the constitutive equation (10) can be written as the following form

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \nabla\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \mu\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \nu\boldsymbol{\gamma}\exp(\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{\gamma}|^2), \tag{48}$$

which can be used to model a large class of materials, especially bio-materials. The associated potential variational problem is

$$(\mathcal{P}_1): \ \min_{u\in\mathcal{U}_a}\left\{\Pi(u) = \int_\Omega\left[\tfrac{1}{2}\mu|\nabla u|^2 + \nu\left(\exp(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2) - 1\right)\right]\mathrm{d}\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_t} tu\mathrm{d}\Gamma\right\}. \tag{49}$$

This problem also appears in the construction of optimal Lipschitz extensions of given boundary data, the Monge - Kantorovich optimal mass transfer problem, and a form of weak KAM theory for Hamiltonian dynamics (see [2]), etc. Although the energy function is convex and the constitutive relation is monotone (see Fig. 1), the complementary energy $\hat{W}^*(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ can not be obtained by the Legendre transformation since the inverse relation of $\boldsymbol{\tau}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ is analytically impossible.



Figure 1: Graphs of $\hat{W}(\gamma)$ (left) and its derivative (right) ($\mu = 1.0,\ \nu = 0.5$)

By using the geometrical measure $\xi = \Lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2$, the canonical energy function can be defined by

$$V(\xi) = \mu\xi + \nu\left(\exp(\xi) - 1\right), \tag{50}$$

and $\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = V(\xi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}))$. Clearly, the canonical strain energy $V(\xi)$ is well-defined on the domain $\mathcal{E}_a$. Thus, the constitutive law (48) can be written in the following simple form:

$$\zeta = V'(\xi) = \mu + \nu\exp(\xi), \tag{51}$$

which is uniquely defined on the domain

$$\mathcal{E}_a^* = \{\zeta \in \mathcal{C}[\Omega;\mathbb{R}]|\ \zeta(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mu + \nu\ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}. \tag{52}$$

Therefore, the complementary energy $V^*(\zeta) : \mathcal{E}_a^* \to \mathbb{R}$ can be obtained easily as

$$V^*(\zeta) = \text{sta}\{\xi\zeta - V(\xi)|\ \ \xi \in \mathcal{E}_a\} = (\zeta - \mu)\left(\log\left(\frac{\zeta-\mu}{\nu}\right) - 1\right) + \nu. \tag{53}$$

Clearly, the canonical duality relations (24) hold on $\mathcal{E}_a \times \mathcal{E}_a^*$.

By the fact that on $\mathcal{E}_a^*$, we have $\mathcal{S}_a = \mathcal{E}_a^* = \mathcal{S}_a^+$ and the canonical stress $\zeta(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mu + \nu > 0\ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, the total complementary energy $\Xi(u,\zeta)$ (or $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u,\zeta)$) is convex in $u \in \mathcal{U}_a$ and the pure complementary variational problem $(\mathcal{P}^d)$ for this convex problem can be written in the following

$$(\mathcal{P}_1^d): \quad \max_{\zeta\in\mathcal{S}_a}\left\{\Pi_1^d(\zeta) = -\int_\Omega\left(\ \frac{|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2}{2\zeta} + (\zeta-\mu)\left(\log\left(\frac{\zeta-\mu}{\nu}\right) - 1\right) + \nu\right)\mathrm{d}\Omega\right\}. \tag{54}$$

Theorem 5 *For a given statically admissible stress $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$, the canonical dual problem $(\mathcal{P}_1^d)$ has a unique solution $\bar{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mu + \nu$. If $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is a potential field and $\boldsymbol{\tau} \times (\nabla\bar{\zeta}) = 0$ on Ω, then the function*

$$\bar{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{\mathbf{x}} (\bar{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\ \ \forall \mathbf{x}_0 \in \Gamma_u \tag{55}$$

is a unique solution of $(\mathcal{P}_1)$ and

$$\Pi_1(\bar{u}) = \min_{u\in\mathcal{U}_a}\Pi_1(u) = \max_{\zeta\in\mathcal{S}_a}\Pi_1^d(\zeta) = \Pi_1^d(\bar{\zeta}). \tag{56}$$

Proof. The criticality condition $\delta\Pi_1^d(\zeta) = 0$ leads to the dual algebraic equation

$$2\zeta^2\log\left(\frac{\zeta-\mu}{\nu}\right) = |\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2. \tag{57}$$

Let $h^2(\zeta) = 2\zeta^2\log\left(\frac{\zeta-\mu}{\nu}\right)$. Then the graph of $h(\zeta) = \pm\zeta\sqrt{2\log((\zeta-\mu)/\nu)}$ is the so-called dual algebraic curve (see Fig. 2). Clearly, for any given $|\boldsymbol{\tau}|$, the canonical dual algebraic equation (57) has a unique solution $\bar{\zeta} \in \mathcal{S}_a$. Since the canonical complementary energy density

$$\psi(\zeta) = \frac{|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2}{2\zeta} + (\zeta-\mu)\left(\log\left(\frac{\zeta-\mu}{\nu}\right) - 1\right) + \nu$$

is a strictly convex function of ζ on the dual feasible space $\mathcal{S}_a$, for a given shear stress $\boldsymbol{\tau}$, the pure complementary energy $\Pi_1^d(\zeta)$ is strictly concave on $\mathcal{S}_a = \mathcal{S}_a^+$. Therefore, the solution $\bar{\zeta}$ of the canonical dual algebraic equation (57) should a unique global maximizer $\bar{\zeta} \geq \mu+\nu$. By Theorem 4 we know that if the condition $\boldsymbol{\tau}\times(\nabla\bar{\zeta}) = 0$, the analytic solution $\bar{u}$ can be determined by (45). □



Figure 2: Dual algebraic curve $h(\zeta)$ ($\mu = 1,\ \ \nu = 0.5$)

5 Application to Nonconvex Power-Law Material Model

In this section the stored strain energy is assume to be a polynomial function of the shear strain $|\boldsymbol{\gamma}|$:

$$\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \frac{\mu}{2b}\left[\left(1 + \frac{b}{p}(|\boldsymbol{\gamma}|^2 - \epsilon)\right)^p - 1\right], \tag{58}$$

where $\mu > 0$ is the infinitesimal shear modulus, $p, b > 0$ are material parameters, and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ is a given (internal) parameter, which can be viewed as residue strain, for example, or input control in functioning materials. If $\epsilon = 0$, this is the power-law material model introduced by Knowles in 1977 [29]. Clearly, in this case, the energy function possesses the following properties:

$$\hat{W}(0) = 0,\ \ \nabla\hat{W}(0) = 0,\ \ \nabla^2\hat{W}(0) = \frac{1}{2}\mu\mathbf{I} \succ 0,$$

which are necessary for $\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ to be stored energy. The associated stress in simple shear is

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mu\left(1 + \frac{b}{p}(|\boldsymbol{\gamma}|^2 - \epsilon)\right)^{p-1}\boldsymbol{\gamma}. \tag{59}$$

The power-law material hardens or softens in shear according to whether $p > 1$ or $p < 1$. Graphs of this material model are shown in Figure 3. Particularly, when $p = \frac{1}{2},\ \epsilon = 0$, the partial differential equation $\nabla \cdot \nabla\hat{W}(\nabla u) = 0$ becomes

$$(1 + 2bu_{,2}^2)u_{,11} - 4bu_{,1}u_{,2}u_{,12} + (1 + 2bu_{,1}^2)u_{,22} = 0, \tag{60}$$

which, on re-scaling u (or by letting $2b = 1$), is the celebrated minimal surface equation

$$(1 + u_{,2}^2)u_{,11} - 2u_{,1}u_{,2}u_{,12} + (1 + u_{,1}^2)u_{,22} = 0. \tag{61}$$

which also governs the flow of a Kármán-Tsien gas (see [26]).

It is easy to prove that for $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the stored energy $\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ is convex (see Section 6.5.3, [9]). In this case, the (BVP) is elliptic (see [29]). However, if $p < \frac{1}{2}$, the constitutive law $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \nabla \hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ is not monotone even if $\epsilon = 0$ (see Fig. 3 (a)). Although it can be considered for modeling softening phenomenon, this case is not physically allowed since $p < \frac{1}{2}$ violates the constitutive law. Mathematical explanation for this case can be given by the canonical duality theory (see below).

When $p = 1$ in (58), the stored energy function $\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ is linear, which recovers the neo-Hookean material.

For $p > 1$, the stored energy function $\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ is convex if $\epsilon \leq 0$, nonconvex for $\epsilon > 0$. Particularly, if $p = 2$ and $\epsilon > p/b$, this nonconvex function $\hat{W}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ is the so-called *double-well energy* in mathematical physics (see Fig. 3 (b)), which appears frequently in phase transitions of solids, Landau-Ginzburg model in super-conductivity [14], post-buckling of large deformed beam [3], as well as in quantum mechanics such as Higgs mechanism and Yang-Miles fields etc. For $p > 2$, the stored energy and constitutive law are shown in Fig. 3 (c).



(a) $p = 0.25, \ \ \epsilon = 0.$



(b) $p = 2, \ \ \epsilon = 2.5.$



(c) $p = 3, \ \ \epsilon = 5.$

Figure 3: Nonconvex energy $\hat{W}(\gamma)$ (left) and non-monotone constitutive law $\hat{W}'(\gamma)$ (right), $\mu = 0.5, \ b = 1.$

Let $\beta = \mu b^{p-1}/p^p$, $\alpha = \epsilon - p/b$, and $\beta_o = \mu/(2b)$. The minimal potential energy principle leads to the following nonlinear variational extremum problem:

$$(\mathcal{P}_2): \quad \min\left\{\Pi_2(u) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}\beta\left(|\nabla u|^2 - \alpha\right)^p - \beta_o\right] \mathrm{d}\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_t} tu \mathrm{d}\Gamma \;\mid u \in \mathcal{U}_a\right\}. \tag{62}$$

For certain given parameter $\alpha > 0$, this variational problem is nonconvex and the corresponding boundary-value problem (BVP) is not equivalent to $(\mathcal{P}_2)$ since the solution of (BVP) may not be the global minimizer of $(\mathcal{P}_2)$. Due to the lack of global optimality condition, traditional direct methods for solving the $(\mathcal{P}_2)$ are very difficult.

By using the canonical strain measure $\Lambda(u) = |\nabla u|^2$, the canonical function for this power-law model can be defined by

$$V(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\beta(\xi - \alpha)^p - \beta_o, \tag{63}$$

which is defined on the closed convex domain

$$\mathcal{E}_a = \{\xi \in \mathcal{L}^p |\ \xi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}.$$

Clearly, this canonical function is convex for $p \geq 1$, but nonconvex for $p < 1$. In any case, the canonical dual stress is uniquely obtained by

$$\zeta = \nabla V(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}p\beta(\xi - \alpha)^{p-1},$$

which is well defined on the dual space

$$\mathcal{E}_a^* = \{\zeta \in \mathcal{L}^{p/(p-1)} \mid \ \zeta(\mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{1}{2}\mu(-\alpha b/p)^{p-1} \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \ \}.$$

Thus the complementary energy can be simply obtained by the traditional Legendre transformation

$$V^*(\zeta) = \{\xi \cdot \zeta - V(\xi)| \ \ \zeta = \nabla V(\xi)\} = \frac{p-1}{p} c\zeta^{p/(p-1)} + \alpha\zeta + \beta_o,$$

where $c = \left(\frac{2}{p\beta}\right)^{1/(p-1)} = \left(\frac{2}{\mu}\right)^{1/(p-1)} p/b$. The corresponding total complementary energy for this nonconvex problem is

$$\Xi(u, \zeta) = \int_\Omega \left[\left(|\nabla u|^2 - \alpha\right)\zeta - \frac{p-1}{p} c\zeta^{p/(p-1)} - \beta_o\right] \mathrm{d}\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_t} tu \mathrm{d}\Gamma. \tag{64}$$

Therefore, for a given $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$, let

$$\mathcal{S}_a^+ = \{\zeta \in \mathcal{E}_a^* \mid \ \zeta(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \ \ |\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2/\zeta \in \mathcal{L} \ \}. \tag{65}$$

The canonical dual problem in this nonconvex case is

$$(\mathcal{P}_2^d): \quad \max\left\{\Pi_2^d(\zeta) = -\int_\Omega \left[\frac{|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2}{4\zeta} + \frac{p-1}{p}c\zeta^{p/(p-1)} + \alpha\zeta + \beta_o\right] \mathrm{d}\Omega \mid \zeta \in \mathcal{S}_a\right\} \tag{66}$$

The criticality condition $\delta\Pi_2^d(\zeta) = 0$ leads to the dual algebraic equation:

$$4\zeta^2\left(c\zeta^{1/(p-1)} + \alpha\right) = |\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2. \tag{67}$$

The solutions of this algebraic equation depends mainly on the material parameter $p > 0$. It can be easily checked by using MATHEMATICA that if $p < \frac{1}{2}$, this equation has no real root. For $p = \frac{1}{2}$, the equation 67 has real roots only under the condition $|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2 \leq \frac{\mu^2}{2b}$. Particularly, for minimal surface-type problems where $\mu = 1$ and $2b = 1$, the condition $|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2 \leq 1$ verifies the result presented in [9] (Section 6.5.3). Canonical duality theory for solving minimal surface type problems have been studied in [22]. In this paper, we are interested in $p > 1$ with positive internal parameter $\alpha > 0$ such that the stored energy is nonconvex, which can be used to model more interesting phenomena.

Particularly, for $p = 2$, the canonical dual algebraic (67) is cubic

$$4\zeta^2\left(c\zeta + \alpha\right) = |\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2 \tag{68}$$

which can be solved analytically to have three solutions:

$$\bar{\zeta}_1 = -\frac{\alpha}{3c} + \frac{2^{4/3}\alpha^2}{3c\psi(\tau)} + \frac{\psi(\tau)}{3(2)^{4/3}c} \tag{69}$$

$$\bar{\zeta}_2 = -\frac{\alpha}{3c} - \frac{2^{1/3}\alpha^2(1-i\sqrt{3})}{3c\psi(\tau)} - \frac{(1+i\sqrt{3})\psi(\tau)}{12(2^{1/3})c}, \tag{70}$$

$$\bar{\zeta}_3 = -\frac{\alpha}{3c} - \frac{2^{1/3}\alpha^2(1+i\sqrt{3})}{3c\psi(\tau)} - \frac{(1-i\sqrt{3})\psi(\tau)}{12(2^{1/3})c}, \tag{71}$$

where $\tau = |\boldsymbol{\tau}|$, and

$$\psi(\tau) = \left(-16\alpha^3 + 27c^2\tau^2 + 3\sqrt{3}\,\tau\,\sqrt{-32\alpha^3c^2 + 27c^4\tau^2}\right)^{1/3}.$$

Similar to the general results proposed in [6, 10, 16], we have the following theorems.

Theorem 6 (Criteria for Multiple Solutions) *For a given parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and the material constants $p = 2$, μ, $b > 0$ such that $c = 4/(\mu b) > 0$, let*

$$\eta = \frac{4\alpha^3}{27c^2}.$$

If $\eta \leq 0$, the (BVP) has a unique solution in the whole domain Ω.

If $\eta > 0$, then the (BVP) could have multi-solutions in Ω. In this case, if $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is a given shear stress and

$$|\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x})|^2 > \eta \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$

the dual algebraic equation (68) has a unique real root $\bar{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}) > 0$. *If* $|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2 < \eta$, *the dual algebraic equation (67) has three real roots in the order of*

$$\bar{\zeta}_1(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \geq \bar{\zeta}_2(\mathbf{x}) \geq \bar{\zeta}_3(\mathbf{x}). \tag{72}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of the Corollary 1 in [10], we let $h^2(\zeta) = 4\zeta^2(c\zeta + \alpha)$ be the left hand side function in the dual algebraic equation (68). By solving $h'(\zeta_c) = 0$ we known that $h(\zeta)$ has a local maximum $h^2_{\max}(\zeta_c) = \eta$ at $\zeta_c = -\frac{2\alpha}{3c}$. From the graphs of the dual algebraic curve $h(\zeta) = \pm 2\zeta\sqrt{c\zeta + \alpha}$ given in Fig. 4a we can see that if $\eta < 0$, the dual algebraic equation (68) has a unique solution for any given $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. However, if $\eta > 0$, the dual algebraic equation (68) may have at most three real solutions in the order of (72) depending on $\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ (see Fig. 4b). □



(a) $\eta < 0$ ($\alpha = -4$). (b) $\eta > 0$ ($\alpha = 4$)

Figure 4: Dual algebraic curve $h(\zeta) = \pm 2\zeta\sqrt{c\zeta + \alpha}$ ($\mu = 1$, $b = 0.5$)

Theorem 7 (Global Extrema, Uniqueness, and Smoothness) *Suppose for a given external force* $t(\mathbf{x})$ *on* Γ_t *that* $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$ *is a statically admissible shear force field. If* $\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x})$ *is not identical zero over the domain* Ω, *the canonical dual problem* $(\mathcal{P}_2^d)$ *has at most three solutions* $\bar{\zeta}_i(\mathbf{x})$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ *defined analytically by (69-71), and*

$$\bar{u}_i = \int_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{\mathbf{x}} (2\bar{\zeta}_i(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot d\mathbf{x}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \tag{73}$$

are the critical solutions to $(\mathcal{P}_2)$. *Particularly,* $\bar{\zeta}_1(\mathbf{x})$ *is a global maximizer of* Π_2^d *over* $\mathcal{S}_a^+$, *the associated* $\bar{u}_1$ *is a global minimizer of* Π_2 *on* $\mathcal{U}_a$, *and*

$$\Pi_2(\bar{u}_1) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_a} \Pi_2(u) = \max_{\zeta \in \mathcal{S}_a^+} \Pi_2^d(\zeta) = \Pi_2^d(\bar{\zeta}_1). \tag{74}$$

If $|\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x})|^2 < \eta \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, *then* $\bar{\zeta}_3(\mathbf{x})$ *and the associated* $\bar{u}_3$ *are local maximizers of* Π_2^d *and* Π_2, *respectively, and*

$$\Pi_2(\bar{u}_3) = \max_{u \in \mathcal{U}_0} \Pi_2(u) = \max_{\zeta \in \mathcal{S}_0} \Pi_2^d(\zeta) = \Pi_2^d(\bar{\zeta}_3), \tag{75}$$

where $\mathcal{U}_0$ *and* $\mathcal{S}_0$ *are neighborhoods of* $\bar{u}_3$ *and* $\bar{\zeta}_3$, *respectively.*

If $|\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x})|^2 > \eta > 0 \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, *the canonical dual problem* $(\mathcal{P}_2^d)$ *has a unique solution* $\bar{\zeta}_1(\mathbf{x})$ *over* Ω *and the primal solution* $\bar{u}_1$ *is a unique smooth global minimizer of* $\Pi_2(u)$ *over* $\mathcal{U}_a$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem follows the canonical duality theory and the recent results presented developed in [16, 17]. By the fact that $\tau(\mathbf{x}) = |\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x})| \geq 0 \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, the force field $\tau(\mathbf{x})$ does not cross the Maxwell line, i.e. the ζ-axis in Fig. 4 (see Theorem 1 in [17]). Therefore, by Theorem 6 in [17], the global optimal solution should be smooth over the whole domain Ω. □

Remark 1 (Triality Theory) *By Theorems 6 and 7 we know that if* $|\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x})|^2 < \eta \ \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, *the nonconvex problem* $(\mathcal{P}_2)$ *has three solutions* $\bar{u}_i, \ i = 1, 2, 3$ *defined by (73), and* $\bar{u}_1$ *is a global min while* $\bar{u}_3$ *is a (biggest) local max. Although the canonical dual solution* $\bar{\zeta}_2$ *is a local minimizer of* Π_2^d, *the associated* $\bar{u}_2$ *may not be a local minimizer of* $\Pi_2(u)$ *unless the domain* Ω *is a subset of* $\mathbb{R}$. *The so-called* triality theory *was originally proved for one-dimension problems* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ *in 1999-2000 [9, 10], i.e. in addition to the canonical min-max duality (74) and the double-max duality (75), we have the so-called double-min duality*

$$\Pi_2(\bar{u}_2) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_0} \Pi_2(u) = \min_{\zeta \in \mathcal{S}_0} \Pi_2^d(\zeta) = \Pi_2^d(\bar{\zeta}_2). \tag{76}$$

However, in 2003 some counterexamples were discovered which show that this double-min duality holds under certain additional constraints (see Remark 1 in [12] and Remark in [13], page 288). This open problem has been solved in 2010 first in global optimization, i.e. the "certain additional constraints" are simply that the primal and dual problems should have the same dimension in order to have strong triality theory. Otherwise, the double-min duality holds weakly in a subspace [20, 21]. Recently, the triality theory has been proved in infinite dimensional space [15].

6 Conclusion Remarks

Concrete applications of the canonical duality-triality theory have been presented in this paper. Results show the pure complementary energy principle proposed in [7, 8] can be used for solving general large deformation mechanics problems in an unified analytical solution form. The triality theory can be used to identify global minimizer. By the fact that for the given external force $t(\mathbf{x})$ on Γ_t, the statically admissible stress field $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_a$ may not be uniquely determined, this analytical solution mainly depends on the compatibility condition (44). How to solve this problem is still an open question which deserve future study.

Acknowledgements

The topic of this paper was suggested by Professor David Steigmann at UC-Berkeley. The research was supported by US Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR FA9550-10-1-0487).

References

[1] Abeyaratne, R. C. (1980). Discontinuous deformation gradients in plane finite elastostatics of incompressible materials. *J. Elasticity* **10**, 255–293.

[2] Barron, E.N. (1999) Viscosity solutions and analysis in L^∞, *Nonlinear Analysis, Differential Equations and Control,* Dordrecht, 1-60.

[3] Cai, K, Gao, D.Y., and Qin, Q.H. (2013). Post-buckling solutions of hyper-elastic beam by canonical dual finite element method, to appear in *Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids*. Published on line at http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4136

[4] Gao, D.Y. (1992). Global extremum criteria for nonlinear elasticity, J. Appl. Math. Physics (ZAMP), 43, pp. 924-937.

[5] Gao, D.Y. (1997). Dual extremum principles in finite deformation theory with applications to post-buckling analysis of extended nonlinear beam theory , *Applied Mechanics Reviews,* ASME,50, no. 11, Part 2, November 1997, S64-S71.

[6] Gao, D.Y. (1998). Duality, triality and complementary extremum principles in nonconvex parametric variational problems with applications *IMAJ. Appl. Math.* 61, pp. 199-235.

[7] Gao, D.Y. (1999). Pure complementary energy principle and triality theory in finite elasticity, *Mech. Res. Comm.* 26 (1), 31-37.

[8] Gao, D.Y. (1999). General analytic solutions and complementary variational principles for large deformation nonsmooth mechanics. *Meccanica* 34, 169-198.

[9] Gao, D.Y. (2000). *Duality Principles in Nonconvex Systems: Theory, Methods and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht /Boston /London, xviii + 454pp.

[10] Gao, D.Y. (2000). Analytic solution and triality theory for nonconvex and nonsmooth variational problems with applications, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 42, 7, 1161-1193.

[11] Gao, D.Y. (2000). Canonical dual transformation method and generalized triality theory in nonsmooth global optimization, *J. Global Optimization*, 17 (1/4), pp. 127-160.

[12] Gao, D.Y.: Perfect duality theory and complete solutions to a class of global optimization problems. *Optim.* 52(4–5), 467–493(2003)

[13] Gao, D.Y. (2003). Nonconvex semi-linear problems and canonical dual solutions. *Advances in Mechanics and Mathematics,* Vol. II, D.Y. Gao and R.W. Ogden (ed), Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 261-312.

[14] Gao, D.Y. Complementary variational principle, algorithm, and complete solutions to phase transitions in solids governed by Landau-Ginzburg equation. *Mathematics and Mechanics of Solid*, 9:285-305.

[15] Gao, D.Y. and Lv, X.J. (2013). Multiple solutions for non-convex variational boundary value problems in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

[16] Gao, D.Y. and Ogden, R.W. (2008). Closed-form solutions, extremality and nonsmoothness criteria in a large deformation elasticity problem, *ZAMP,* 59 (2008) 498517.

[17] Gao, D.Y. and Ogden, R.W. (2008). Multiple solutions to non-convex variational problems with implications for phase transitions and numerical computation, *Quarterly J. Mech. Appl. Math.* 61 (4), 497-522.

[18] Gao, D.Y. and Sherali, H.D. (2008). Canonical duality: Connection between nonconvex mechanics and global optimization, in *Advances in Appl. Mathematics and Global Optimization,* D.Y. Gao and H.D. Sherali (Eds), 249-316, Springer, 2009

[19] Gao, D.Y. and Strang, G. (1989). Geometric nonlinearity: Potential energy, complementary energy, and the gap function, *Quart. Appl. Math.,* 47, pp. 487-504.

[20] Gao, D.Y. and Wu, C.Z.: On the triality theory for a quartic polynomial optimization problem, J. Ind. Manag. Optim. 8(1), 229-242, (2012).

[21] Gao, DY, and Wu, C. On the Triality Theory in Global Optimization, to appear in *J. Global Optimization* http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.2970

[22] Gao, D.Y. and Yang, W.H. (1995). Multi-duality in minimal surface type problems, *Studies in Appl. Math.,* 95: 127-146. MIT.

[23] Gao, D.Y. and Yu, H.F. (2008). Multi-scale modelling and canonical dual finite element method in phase transitions of solids. *Int. J. Solids and Structures*, 45:3660–3673.

[24] Gurtin, M. and Temem, R. (1981). On the anti-plane shear problem in finite elasticity, *J. Elasticity*, 11 (2), 197-206.

[25] Hellinger, E. (1914). Die allgemeine Ansätze der Mechanik der Kontinua. Encyklopädie der Mathematischen Wissenschaften IV, 4, 602-94.

[26] Horgan, C.O. (1995). Anti-Plane Shear Deformations in Linear and Nonlinear Solid Mechanics, *SIAM Review*, 37(1), 53-81.

[27] Jiang, Qing and Knowles, James K. (1991). A class of compressible elastic materials capable of sustaining finite anti-plane shear, *J. Elasticity*, Volume 25 (3), pp. 193-201

[28] Knowles, J.K. (1976). On finite anti-plane shear for imcompressible elastic materials, *J. Australia Math. Soc.*, 19, 400-415.

[29] Knowles, J.K. (1977). The finite anti-plane shear field near the tip of a crack for a class of incompressible elastic solids, *Internat. J. Fracture,* 13, pp. 611-639.

[30] Koiter, W.T. (1976). On the complementary energy theorem in nonlinear elasticity theory, Trends in Appl. of Pure Math. to Mech. ed. G. Fichera, Pitman.

[31] Lee, S. J. and Shield, R. T. (1980). Variational principles in finite elastics, *J. Appl. Math. Physics* (*ZAMP*), 31, 437-453.

[32] Lee, S. J. and Shield, R. T. (1980). Applications of variational principles in finite elasticity, *J. Appl. Math. Physics* (*ZAMP*), 31, pp 454-472.

[33] Levinson, M. (1965). The complementary energy theorem in finite elasticity, *Trans. ASME, ser. E, J. Appl. Mech.,* 87, pp. 826-828.

[34] Li, S.F. and Gupta, A. (2006). On dual configuration forces, *J. of Elasticity*, 84:13-31.

[35] Luo, J. and Wang, X. (2009). On the anti-plane shear of an elliptic nano inhomogeneity *European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids*, 28: 926934

[36] Narita, F. and Shindo, Y. (1998). Layered piezoelectric medium with interface crack under anti-plane shear *Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechancis*, 30(2), pp. 119-126.

[37] Ogden, R.W. (1975). A note on variational theorems in non-linear elastostatics. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Sco.*, 77, pp. 609-615.

[38] Ogden, R.W. (1977). Inequalities associated with the inversion of elastic stress-deformation relations and their implications, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.,* 81, 313-324.

[39] Oden, J.T. and Reddy, J.N. (1983). *Variational Methods in Theoretical Mechanics.* Springer-Verlag.

[40] Paulino, G. H. Saif, M.T.A. Mukherjee, S. (1993). A finite elastic body with a curved crack loaded in anti-plane shear *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 30 (8), 10151037

[41] Ressner, E. (1953). On a variational theorem for finite elastic deformations, *J. Math. Phys.*, 32(2-3), 129-135.

[42] Silling SA (1988). Consequences of the Maxwell relation for anti-plane shear deformations of an elastic solid *Journal of elasticity*, 19 (3), pp 241-284

[43] Veubeke, B.F. (1972). A new variational principle for finite elastic displacements. *Int. J. Engineering Science*, 10, pp. 745-763.

[44] Yu, H.H. and Yang, Wei (1994). Mechanics of transonic debonding of a bimaterial interface: The anti-plane shear case *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, Volume 42(11), 1994, 17891802

(a)



(b)